

Enhancing DC plan design

Considerations to help improve plan outcomes

1

CONSIDER ADOPTING AUTOMATIC FEATURES

70% of DC plan participants are missing their retirement savings target.¹ Automatic plan features, such as automatic enrollment and automatic contribution escalation, can be successful tools for helping participants reach their retirement savings goals.

While some DC plan sponsors may hesitate to adopt automatic features for fear of employee pushback, our research shows that approximately 3/4 of participants are in favor of or at least neutral toward these features. Among those automatically enrolled in their plans, the vast majority are satisfied with the experience (95%). We see similar satisfaction rates for those whose contribution amount is/was automatically escalated each year (as shown in the table below).

Best practices to consider

- Contemplate automatically enrolling all employees, not just new hires and executing automatic enrollment for non-participants annually, rather than just a one-time sweep
- Review the benefits for plan participants of starting the default contribution slightly higher than the typical rate of 3% or setting a default automatic increase rate of 2%, rather than the typical rate of 1% to increase the probability of participants reaching retirement success

Support for automatic features is high among participants

	% in favor of or neutral toward plan feature	% satisfied with experience ^b
Automatic enrollment	82%	95%
Automatic contribution escalation ^a	79%	97%

^a Annual increases of 2% of salary up to 10%.

^b Of those who have been through automatic enrollment/automatic contribution escalation.

¹ Of the 73% who think they should be saving 10% or more to be on track toward a secure retirement.

Source: J.P. Morgan Plan Participant Research 2018.

Contact your advisor for more information.


2 REVIEW BEST PRACTICES IN TARGET DATE FUND (TDF) SELECTION

Too few plan sponsors (only 56%) say they are confident that their participants have an appropriate asset allocation.² At the same time, 66% of participants are not highly confident about which 401(k) options they should invest in.¹ TDFs can help by providing age-appropriate diversification through professionally managed portfolios that become more conservative as participants move toward retirement.

Relative to less diversified investment options, TDFs may be less volatile, an important factor in helping more participants reach their desired level of income replacement at retirement. As shown in the chart below, participants who use TDFs experience less extreme outcomes in their DC plans than those constructing their own portfolios from a core investment lineup. TDFs are also highly valued by participants as 88% find them appealing.¹

Best practices to consider

TDF investors have a tighter range of outcomes than “do-it-yourselfers”



Source: J.P. Morgan retirement research. Analysis measurement period is December 31, 2013 through December 31, 2018. The above data represents a sampling of participant data. It does not represent the returns of any individual product or portfolio. Exclusive reliance on the above is not advised. This information is not intended for a recommendation to invest in any particular manner. Rate of return for the measurement period is aggregated by investment strategy. Historical rate of return is not a guarantee of and may not be indicative of future results. See the “Important Disclosures for Personal Rate of Return Methodology” for additional information.

- Determine plan goals and objectives
- Compare and select a TDF that best aligns to the plan’s goals and objectives
- Establish a process for periodic review
- Document the process along the way

Contact your advisor for more information.

¹ J.P. Morgan Plan Participant Research 2018.

² J.P. Morgan Plan Sponsor Research 2017.

³ J.P. Morgan retirement research, 2017.


3 CONSIDER A RE-ENROLLMENT

Despite plan sponsors’ efforts to improve participant asset allocation by adding TDFs to the investment lineup, participant inertia can still result in low TDF adoption rates, leaving many participants with asset allocation choices that may not be appropriate for their age. One implementation strategy, re-enrollment, can be a catalyst for getting participants invested in the TDF option. Before conducting a re-enrollment, plan sponsors must engage in a prudent process for determining whether a re-enrollment is appropriate for the plan and its participants.

The illustration below clearly depicts that participants’ equity exposure can vary widely. Although a participant’s 401(k) plan allocation may be the result of a holistic investment strategy across investment accounts, this dispersion may be one of the factors that plan sponsors may wish to consider when determining whether a re-enrollment might be right for their plan and participants.

A plan re-enrollment has the potential to position both new and existing participants for retirement success by defaulting them into the plan’s qualified default investment alternative (QDIA), usually an age-appropriate TDF, while still allowing those who want to take a more active role in choosing investments to opt out. Plan sponsors that conduct a re-enrollment typically see 49% to 97% of assets go into TDFs.³ Some plan sponsors, however, are reluctant to conduct a re-enrollment, due to anticipation of participant pushback. Our research indicates this is likely a misperception: 86% of participants are actually in favor of or at least neutral toward this strategy. In addition, 99% of those who have gone through a re-enrollment and allowed funds to be moved to a TDF are satisfied.¹

Participants making their own asset allocation choices often have too much or not enough equity exposure



Source: J.P. Morgan retirement research. Representative sampling of 3,000 do-it-yourself participants, December 31, 2018. For illustrative purposes only. See the “Important Disclosures for Scatterplot Chart Methodology” for additional information.


Best practices to consider

- Understand the benefits of knowing the participant level asset allocation data
- Review the facts around re-enrollment:
 - ERISA safe harbor protection may be available for assets defaulted into a QDIA
 - Participants who want to make their own investment options can opt out

4 EXPLORE OPTIMIZING THE CORE MENU

While many plan participants may benefit from investing in TDFs, some participants may choose to allocate their savings among the different investment options available in the core lineup. For these “do-it-yourselfers,” a more streamlined core menu may be best. Research shows that having too many investment choices can lead to confusion, misallocation and even inertia among participants. In fact, even though DC plans offer an average of 20 funds or more, the average participant invests in fewer than four (as shown in the chart below).

More choice does not always lead to better diversification



Source: J.P. Morgan retirement research 2014.

Core menu investors may be better served by fewer, more sophisticated choices that leverage the benefits of diversification and professional management. Plan sponsors can do this by providing a single point of entry into well-diversified portfolios of stocks, bonds or cash equivalents, which offer diversification within a single asset class. This approach ensures that experienced, professional managers, with expertise in investing over different market cycles, are actively driving asset allocation and portfolio construction.


Best practices to consider

- Consolidate the core menu into fewer, more diversified investment portfolios
- Understand the benefits:
 - Better diversification with access to institutional quality strategies
 - Higher probability of better investment outcomes

5 CONSIDER AN EMPLOYER MATCH

Many employers include a match as part of their benefits package. An employer match has the power to greatly increase the value of an employer-sponsored retirement savings account, while also providing a greater incentive for employees to contribute to the 401(k) plan. As shown in the chart below, 81% of participants feel the company match is a motivating factor in encouraging them to increase contributions.

Factors motivating participants to increase 401(k) plan contributions, 2016



Source: The Cerulli Edge, Retirement Edition 3Q 2016. % of participants that find these factors most or moderately motivating

Best practices to consider

- Review the benefits of offering an employer match to encourage participant savings

¹ J.P. Morgan Plan Participant Research 2018.

² J.P. Morgan Plan Sponsor Research 2017.

³ J.P. Morgan retirement research, 2017.

This document is a general communication being provided for informational purposes only. It is educational in nature and not designed to be a recommendation for any specific investment product, strategy, plan feature or other purposes. By receiving this communication you agree with the intended purpose described above. Any examples used in this material are generic, hypothetical and for illustration purposes only. None of J.P. Morgan Asset Management, its affiliates or representatives is suggesting that the recipient or any other person take a specific course of action or any action at all. Communications such as this are not impartial and are provided in connection with the advertising and marketing of products and services. Prior to making any investment or financial decisions, an investor should seek individualized advice from a personal financial, legal, tax and other professional advisors that take into account all of the particular facts and circumstances of an investor's own situation.

TARGET DATE FUNDS: Target date funds are funds with the target date being the approximate date when investors plan to start withdrawing their money. Generally, the asset allocation of each fund will change on an annual basis, with the asset allocation becoming more conservative as the fund nears the target retirement date. The principal value of the fund(s) is not guaranteed at any time, including at the target date.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES FOR PERSONAL RATE OF RETURN METHODOLOGY. Rate of return is calculated for active participants by an investment strategy using the Modified Dietz method and is based upon volatility between the highest rate of return and the lowest rate of return associated with each investment strategy among such participants. Services associated with the identified investment strategies were available as of the last day of the measurement period, but may not have been available throughout the measurement period.

Target date fund users are participants with at least 70% of their account balance invested in target date funds as of the first and last day of the measurement period. Do-it-yourselfers are participants with less than 70% of their account balance invested in target date funds as of the first and last day of the measurement period and also includes participants using online advice services, if applicable.

IMPORTANT DISCLOSURES FOR SCATTERPLOT CHART METHODOLOGY. "Do-it-yourselfers" are participants with less than 70% of their account balance invested in target date funds as of the day of the measurement period and also includes participants using online advice services, if applicable. The analysis excludes self-directed brokerage and managed account users.

JPMorgan Distribution Services, Inc., member FINRA.

J.P. Morgan Asset Management is the marketing name for the asset management business of JPMorgan Chase & Co., and its affiliates worldwide.

Copyright © 2019 JPMorgan Chase & Co. All rights reserved.

January 2019

DC-PDSteps