Investing with composure in volatile markets

Staying focused on long-term economic and market expectations
With an ever-increasing amount of investment information available, it is not unusual to feel inundated and even overwhelmed by all the data.

J.P. Morgan Asset Management’s Market Insights program is designed to provide financial professionals and their clients with the tools and knowledge they need to make informed investment decisions more confidently.

“"The key to successful investing is not predicting the future, but looking at the present with clarity.""

DR. DAVID KELLY, CFA
Chief Global Strategist, J.P. Morgan Funds
STOCK MARKET VOLATILITY HAS INCREASED AFTER SEVERAL YEARS OF CALM. Global growth worries, central bank policies and the age of this business cycle are but a few reasons investors are nervous today. Overreacting to short-term news and normal market movements often leads investors to inappropriately alter their asset allocations, potentially harming their ability to achieve long-term investment goals.

Rather than fear volatile markets, investors should maintain their composure by staying focused on long-term economic and market expectations.

In this paper, we discuss three simple principles that can help investors maintain this balance: keeping market volatility in perspective, focusing on longer investment time horizons and maintaining portfolio discipline. While the past is clearly no guarantee of the future, we believe that investors who can see beyond short-term volatility will make better investment decisions.

OVERVIEW

• Market volatility has increased after several years of calm. Investors should stay focused on long-term market fundamentals instead of short-term news.

• The volatile and asymmetric returns that are experienced on a daily basis are smoothed over during monthly and annual periods. Expanding the investment holding period over years and decades has historically improved the risk/return profile of an investor’s portfolio.

• Diversified, regularly rebalanced portfolios have typically resulted in higher Sharpe ratios than other equity asset classes over 10-, 15- and 20-year horizons.

This paper is an updated version of a paper with the same title, originally released in May 2014.
PUTTING VOLATILITY IN PERSPECTIVE

On October 19, 1987, later referred to as Black Monday, the S&P 500 experienced a fall of 20.5%. This is still the worst day for the stock market on record. While days like Black Monday have occurred throughout history, they are rare and unpredictable. In fact, because Black Monday was a result of trading behavior and not market or economic fundamentals, the S&P 500 still finished the year with a slight gain.

Volatility is unavoidable in investing. There are many measures of market volatility, including the standard deviation of returns, the VIX index, implied options volatility and dozens more. For long-term investors, the most meaningful measure may be the largest intra-year decline (or maximum drawdown) since it represents the largest loss an investor experiences during a given year. EXHIBIT 1, a chart from the Guide to the Markets, shows this measure relative to each year’s annual return.

These drawdowns can occur over days, weeks or months. Having the fortitude to stay invested during these periods requires discipline that has often been rewarded. For example, in 2014 the maximum drawdown of 7.4% occurred during October over a variety of global concerns. In 2015, the largest pullback occurred in August when concerns over growth in China escalated. Despite the negative market reactions and subsequent volatility, neither episode was in reaction to underlying U.S. economic growth trends.

Consequently, those who stayed invested during each period benefited from subsequent market rebounds. Not only did the market recover in each case, but the intra-year pullbacks were shallower than average. EXHIBIT 2 summarizes the best and worst intra-year drawdowns since 1928.

Drawdowns can range from mild to severe

Despite average intra-year declines of 14.2%, annual returns were positive 27 of 36 years*
Investors should continue to expect stock market volatility as the market cycle matures. In this type of environment, it is even more important to distinguish between volatility that results from short-term news rather than long-term fundamentals, and to stay focused on the latter. **EXHIBIT 3** summarizes the frequency of drawdowns of various sizes that investors have experienced over the course of the average year.

**Small stock market pullbacks are normal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drawdown threshold</th>
<th>Historical frequency</th>
<th>Typical # per year</th>
<th>Typical recovery time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Once per market cycle</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>20 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10%</td>
<td>Once per year</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Once per quarter</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2 to 3 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3%</td>
<td>Once per month</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2 to 6 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2%</td>
<td>Often</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1 to 4 weeks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Standard & Poor’s, FactSet, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. Returns are based on price index only and do not include dividends. For illustrative purposes only. *Analysis based on each type (size) of drawdown being independent. For example, the market does not typically see four 5% drawdowns and one 10% drawdown in the same year, but rather those 5% drawdowns may compound into a single 10% drawdown for the year. Data are as of December 31, 2015.

Market corrections of 20% or more have historically occurred at the end of market cycles. But how volatile can markets be in the short run? Historically, the market has pulled back 5% an average of four times a year, or about once a quarter. In fact, as shown in **EXHIBIT 4**, every year since 1995\(^2\) has seen at least one 5% pullback, with periods of elevated uncertainty, such as the euro area crisis in 2011, experiencing several. Despite this, the market has tended to fully recover within three months.

**Drawdowns between 2% and 3% occur far more often, at least monthly on average, and have historically fully recovered within weeks. Thus, short-term pullbacks occur frequently and should not in and of themselves be reasons for panic. In addition, while Exhibit 3 shows roughly how often pullbacks occur, the frequency can vary significantly depending on market conditions. Consequently, these statistics are not a reason to “wait for a pullback,” since markets can do very well in the interim. Instead, investors should focus on underlying market fundamentals and the economic outlook when deciding whether to adjust their long-term portfolio allocations.**

**KEEP AN EYE ON THE LONG-TERM HORIZON**

Although volatility is unavoidable, it is a reason for investors to maintain a long-term perspective rather than a reason for pessimism. After all, an investor’s sensitivity to market volatility is largely determined by his or her investment time horizon, and U.S. equity markets have rewarded those who have stayed invested over longer periods of time.

Broad market returns behave differently over daily, monthly and annual periods. This is summarized in **EXHIBIT 5**. For S&P 500 daily returns, the median historical return is -51.5 basis points (bps) on down days and +51.1 bps on up days. In other words, daily market returns and volatility are asymmetric; negative days are worse than positive days are good. This makes intuitive sense; although it takes a long time for the market to climb higher, it can fall quickly.

---

\(^2\) Similar to 2013, 1995 was an exceptional year for the U.S. stock market, which gained 34% with a maximum drawdown of only 2.5%.
Markets tend to settle up, frustrating market timers

EXHIBIT 5: S&P 500 RETURN STATISTICS SINCE 1928

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>% up</th>
<th>Median return</th>
<th>Median up return</th>
<th>Median down return</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>53%</td>
<td>0.01%</td>
<td>0.51%</td>
<td>-0.51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly</td>
<td>59%</td>
<td>0.90%</td>
<td>3.10%</td>
<td>-2.70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual</td>
<td>65%</td>
<td>10.60%</td>
<td>17.30%</td>
<td>-11.80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Standard & Poor’s, FactSet, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. For illustrative purposes only. Returns are based on price index only and do not include dividends. Data are as of December 31, 2015.

However, the fact that 53% of days are positive offsets this imbalance. This is precisely why market timing is alluring to investors who are attempting to avoid losses, but also why doing so ultimately fails since gains occur more often.

The volatile and asymmetric returns experienced over days and weeks are smoothed over during the course of months and years. Thus, overreacting to short-term volatility is likely to backfire. Monthly returns improve upon daily returns with 59% of months experiencing gains. Annual returns are better still. Since 1928, 65% of years have seen positive returns, with average gains far outpacing losses.

In addition to focusing on months and years rather than days, investors should also consider longer investment horizons. **EXHIBIT 6** from the Guide to the Markets demonstrates that expanding the investment holding period over years and decades has historically improved the risk/return profile of an investor’s portfolio. Over any one-year period, the S&P 500 has experienced gains as high as 47% (in 1954) and losses as low as -39% (in 2008). Clearly, an undiversified equity portfolio is inappropriate for short-term goals.

Simply expanding to a five-year holding period improves the risk/return profile of stocks dramatically, with the worst five-year period since 1950 experiencing only a 2% decline. Most important, there has never been a 20-year period in the post-war era that has experienced losses. While this is no guarantee of future returns, it demonstrates the importance of specifying the right time horizon to minimize portfolio risk.

**EXHIBIT 7** shows in greater detail the historical effect of investing in a stock portfolio with different holding periods. For example, the bars on the one-year chart show the values of successive $100 investments that were made one year earlier. The periods highlighted in gray represent periods when a loss would have been experienced at the end of each investment horizon. For a one-year horizon, these periods occur quite often, resulting in risk regardless of the market cycle. The initial $100 investment would have lost close to $50 in 2008 from the year prior, while investing near the bottom in 2009 would have resulted in a gain of more than $50 a year later.

The investment time horizon is a powerful tool for managing volatility

**EXHIBIT 6: RANGE OF ANNUAL TOTAL RETURNS – 1950 TO 2015**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Annual avg. total return</th>
<th>Growth of $100,000 over 20 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stocks</td>
<td>11.1%</td>
<td>$819,296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bonds</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td>$321,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50/50 Portfolio</td>
<td>8.9%</td>
<td>$555,099</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Longer time horizons can allow markets to work for investors

**EXHIBIT 7: FREQUENCY OF AN EQUITY INVESTMENT BEING UNDERWATER BASED ON INVESTMENT HORIZON**

1-YEAR HORIZON

- Negative return, based on an initial investment of $100, over the time period.
- Positive return, based on an initial investment of $100, over the time period.

5-YEAR HORIZON

10-YEAR HORIZON

Source: Standard & Poor’s, FactSet, J.P. Morgan Asset Management. For illustrative purposes only. Returns are S&P 500 total returns including reinvested dividends. Data are as of December 31, 2015.
By contrast, there are fewer periods when a five-year portfolio is underwater, and the periods that do occur are related to broad market cycles. In other words, these periods are less idiosyncratic, with stretches of positive performance lasting decades or longer. For example, successive five-year holding periods beginning in 1937 through 1965, a 28-year span, resulted in positive returns. Also, gains overshadow losses compared with the one-year holding period portfolios. This is why strategies that invest over a market cycle, such as dollar-cost averaging and dividend reinvestment, are powerful.

A 10-year window only performed poorly during the Great Depression and the Great Recession. Stock returns over 10-year holding periods beginning in 1936 to 2003, a 67-year stretch, were positive. In addition, the returns generated are far larger over the longer horizon due to compounding. Clearly, investors who can see beyond short-term market volatility by expanding their time horizons can benefit from broad market and economic cycles.

In Exhibit 8, the table shows portfolio diversification and rebalancing can provide greater stability in volatile markets. The table compares the performance of various assets and allocations over the period from 2000 to 2015. The table highlights the importance of diversification and rebalancing in managing risk and enhancing returns, particularly in volatile market conditions.

Source: Barclays, Bloomberg, FactSet, MSCI, NAREIT, Russell, Standard & Poor’s, J.P. Morgan Asset Management.

Large cap: S&P 500, Small cap: Russell 2000, EM Equity: MSCI EME, DM Equity: MSCI EAFE, Comdty: Bloomberg Commodity Index, High Yield: Barclays Global HY Index, Fixed Income: Barclays Aggregate, REITs: NAREIT Equity REIT Index. The “Asset Allocation” portfolio assumes the following weights: 25% in the S&P 500, 10% in the Russell 2000, 15% in the MSCI EAFE, 5% in the MSCI EME, 25% in the Barclays Aggregate, 5% in the Barclays 1-3m Treasury, 5% in the Barclays Global High Yield Index, 5% in the Bloomberg Commodity Index and 5% in the NAREIT Equity REIT Index. Balanced portfolio assumes annual rebalancing. All data represents total return for stated period. Past performance is not indicative of future returns. Data are as of December 31, 2015. Annualized (Ann.) return and volatility (Vol.) represents period of 12/31/99 - 12/31/15. Please see disclosure page at end for index definitions.

Guide to the Markets - U.S. Data are as of December 31, 2015.

Diversification does not guarantee investment returns and does not eliminate the risk of loss.
PORTFOLIO STABILITY IN AN UNSTABLE WORLD

Stock market volatility can be managed in a portfolio by following a disciplined diversification and rebalancing investment approach. EXHIBIT 8, also from the Guide to the Markets, illustrates the performance of a diversified portfolio relative to the performance of the underlying asset classes. Over the prior 15 years, the asset allocation portfolio has generated annualized returns of 4.8%, comparing quite nicely to other major asset classes.

More important, by diversifying and rebalancing properly, an asset allocation portfolio achieved these returns with lower volatility than other equity asset classes. Over this 15-year period, the asset allocation portfolio’s volatility was two-thirds that of the overall stock market and almost one-third that of emerging market equities. By construction, this portfolio has provided more stability than any individual asset class.

Solid returns and lower volatility for the asset allocation portfolio result in a superior risk/return profile. EXHIBIT 9 shows that the realized Sharpe Ratio, which measures the trade-off between returns and volatility, is higher for the asset allocation portfolio than any other equity asset classes. This holds true over 10-, 15- and 20-year horizons. The historical record shows that diversification and rebalancing are powerful tools for combating market volatility.

NOTHING TO FEAR BUT FEAR ITSELF

Several years of relative market stability has given way to higher levels of volatility as global uncertainty increases and monetary policy normalizes. Investors should keep market volatility in perspective, invest over longer time horizons and maintain portfolio discipline. Those who can see beyond short-term volatility by focusing on these three simple principles will likely be rewarded for their patience and discipline.
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